



LAS VIRGENES MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
4232 Las Virgenes Road, Calabasas, CA 91302

MINUTES
REGULAR MEETING

5:00 PM

November 26, 2013

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was led by Board President, Charles Caspary.

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

A Call to order and roll call

The meeting was called to order at 5:00 p.m. by Board President Caspary in the District offices. Deputy Secretary, Kimmey Conklin called the roll. Those answering present were Directors Charles Caspary, Glen Peterson, Leonard Polan, Lee Renger, and Barry Steinhardt.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

A Approval of agenda

On a motion by Director Lee Renger, seconded by Director Glen Peterson, the Board of Directors voted 5-0 to Approve the agenda for the Regular Meeting of November 26, 2013, as presented.

AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger , Steinhardt

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Three speaker cards were received from the public: (1) Louis Masry: discussed his family history in the area; family put up \$1-million dollars to save Ahmanson Ranch, funds SOAR, stopped big-box development; 17-year history with Las Virgenes; speaking on tank issue; take a pause on the project, there are a lot of questions about fiscal/environmental/public safety; use of reservoir for supply; Las Virgenes has not been understanding about the issues; project started at \$5-mil now at \$10-mil; explosives at site, damage to dam, wildlife, public safety issues; construction is more dangerous than drinking reservoir water; look at a probability study; use money for conservation projects; put issue on ballot; doesn't want to pit the public against the District; pause, need more action, involve community (Steinhardt: first time he has seen him at a meeting, what prompted him to come (Masry: lives in Westlake Village, has

seen many press articles, has been speaking to people he knows, fire safety not a concern, \$500 per household, people cannot afford project and where did the money come from); Steinhardt: you talked about wildlife and animals, what do you propose (Masry: study on wildlife corridor and what this could do to the dam)).

Director, Barry Steinhardt made a motion to look at dynamite and also at an Environmental Impact Report.

District Counsel, Wayne Lemieux stated this should be put on the agenda during future agenda items (Steinhardt: wants to put on the agenda now (Caspary: requested legal counsel opinion, which Steinhardt took as a refusal to add as a future agenda); Caspary requested General Manager, David Pedersen address the issues, and discussed public meetings that have been held pertaining to the project (Lemieux: not the proper time to discuss)).

(2) Neil Ticktin: community is not against tank, against process; \$9-mil cost; Las Virgenes has no trust within the community due to changing facts; fire regulations are 5,000 gallon per minute, we have the reservoir we can use; misled public about rates, rates are for tank and Las Virgenes is disingenuous; Board is to do the business of the community; sham contests; not credible because facts are not stated (Renger: why do you think the contest was a sham, he (Renger) was on the committee; Ticktin: he originally thought of the contest, there was a cash prize that was removed, rush to do contest to move tank forward instead of taking appropriate time for process); Steinhardt: you came up with a lot of criticism, what do you propose we do (Ticktin: Las Virgenes has one-way conversations and doesn't have to prove or defend; set-up an open arbitration process for two-way discussions, if justified then the tank should be built; he was in favor of the tank and then when he asked staff questions he had more doubt).

(3) Denise Arledge: just found out about the tank project, but of course meetings are at 5:00 p.m. when people are at work; \$500 per house is customer money not Las Virgenes', believes Board President Caspary rushed speakers so they couldn't voice their opinions (Renger: you can thank us for having the meeting (a reference to a recent Morrison Ranch Estates Homeowners' Association meeting) because the consultant thought the cell tower was a given and it wasn't (Arledge: thank you for sweeping us under the rug as they didn't know about cell site item)).

4. ILLUSTRATIVE AND/OR VERBAL PRESENTATION AGENDA ITEMS

A Las Virgenes Municipal Water District Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2013

Accept the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for Fiscal Year 2012-13.

Interim Director of Finance and Administration, Joseph Lillio provided a review of summary findings.

Nitin Patel, CPA with White Nelson Diehl Evans gave a presentation, which discussed District and auditor responsibilities; audit work performed; basic financial statements overview (financial reports, independent auditors report, communications with those charged with governance); summary of District's basic financial statements (revenues, expenses and changes in net position; summary statements of net position and cash flows year ending June 30th); new pronouncements (GASB Statement 63 and GASB Statement 65; in future years GASB 66, 67, 68, 69, 70).

Board President Caspary reported that he and Treasurer Polan had met with Mr. Patel earlier in the day and were satisfied with the results (Peterson: 2012 long term debt \$24-mil versus

2013 long term debt \$26-mil (Patel: accounting pronouncements for financials)).

On a motion by Director Leonard Polan, seconded by Director Lee Renger, the Board of Directors voted 5-0 to Approve the recommendation as presented.

AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger , Steinhardt

B Draft Action Plan for Budget-Based Water Rates Implementation

Approve the draft Action Plan for Budget-Based Water Rates Implementation.

Director of Resource Conservation and Public Outreach, Carlos Reyes gave a presentation entitled "Draft Action Plan for Budget-Based Water Rates Implementation", which discussed strategies for compliance; approved rate increases; rate structures for potable water with water allocation based on parcel size; rate structures for recycled water; rate structures for sanitation; action plan-objectives, strategies, actions; and stated Tom Ash has assisted other agencies transition to budget based rates; the Board went through this with Raftelis, so concepts may be familiar; recycled water based on annual, CPI to October, which was -0.1%, so rates will be the same for 2014, revenue neutral was verified; use consistent language versus intermittently using "drought allocation" versus "budget based" rates; issues of equity; clearly state difference between last rate process and this transition; irrigated area is very important to study; 50 properties selected for pilot-study including properties that had problems with last allocation/rate study; monthly meter reading/billing; AMR/AMI contractor filed bankruptcy, but another vendor has taken over (Peterson: does this anticipate full build-out (Reyes: no, build-out is 4-years after budget-based rates are implemented)).

Tom Ash: Consults in the areas of Conservation/Customer Service/Water Rate Advisor gave a presentation entitled "Budget Based Rates: Why, What, How", which discussed ramifications of not changing rate designs; the perfect storm (costs will go up, drought will happen, legislation/supply, customer service will become more important, customers want rates that reflect their situation, agencies need more defensible and flexible tools); expectations (agency/customer); what changes with rates (water efficiency and customer equity/fairness); what is a successful conservation rate structure (sustainable rate design); what rates would customers choose (accuracy, recognizes their personal situation, rewards past conservation efforts and penalizes waste, transparent/logical); how to construct successful rates (ask the right questions, get good data/info, educate officials first); save water without losing money (what do agencies sell - reliable fixed services); how to achieve conservation and equity; meters to microclimates; water allocations and targeting; set customer allocations; achieving agency and customer goals; policy considerations; agency results.

A summary of Board comments included: landscape factor of 80% versus 70%; how do you explain neighbor across the street getting more water than you do (Ash: evapotranspiration (ET) different in every area, but State number is the same for all); CPI, recycled water cost is the same for 2014, how relevant is CPI (Pedersen: Los Angeles - Orange County used, so it's the local index, Ordinance set CPI); readiness to serve charge, if we use budget-based rates (Ash: cost of water needs to be enough to motivate conservation, water/energy/treatment (chemicals) make up rate); subsidies to other customers; represents an area with large grass lots (Ash: there will be a difference between need and actual use); overwhelmingly those in higher tiers are subsidizing lower tier users (Ash: yes, under the current rate structure; Reyes: Board opted after 3-years to collect actual Tier-1 water rates, first 3-years Tier-1 actual cost is not being charged); data is important for management of water, how soon can we have all customers on the same playing field; monthly billing, less float, but receive money more often; likes money for conservation; obtrusive to customers; who gets to have a rainforest and who gets to have rock (Ash: State has set ET factor, so 80% of ET = 1/3 turf, 1/3 shrubs, 1/3 drought resistant landscape; those using desert-type landscape are under the State's ET, if you have grass you won't meet State ET, so they will have to pay more money, additional

funds can be used for conservation); no matter what we do people will complain (Ash: Moulton Niguel Water District is similar to Las Virgenes, they had 30-40 people show up at the first public hearing, were people who cared and all of them were users who conserve and their bills went down); how are districts who use GPS; how intrusive people wise is the process (Ash: you start with census data to model, send information to actual customer to ask if they will provide actual household information for number of people, sanitation charges will be looked at, gaming of system doesn't work because if customers say there are ten people for potable use, customers would be billed for ten people on the sanitation side, so there is a balance).

Steinhardt: would like item tabled and to have a workshop in order to better understand the process, and before we start the train rolling and to go out in the community, the Board needs to understand (Renger: aren't we looking at the process; Steinhardt: "implementation" is the action of the draft); Polan: would like a workshop too; Peterson: move forward, workshop okay, doesn't want staff or Tom Ash to stop working on the process; Renger: move forward and hold workshop, have game plan; Caspary: concerned that if the majority of the Board approves and Director Steinhardt doesn't understand the process it will be sabotaged (Steinhardt: can't answer until he understands the process, community needs to be part of the process); Peterson: this was approved as part of the tactical activities; Steinhardt: implementation means move forward (Peterson: a timeline is what is being approved); Steinhardt: action plan was to explore, approves the strategy, not the plan (Pedersen: confident this is the right thing for the District, it will be challenging, we need all five board members to agree with the plan, don't take action, hold workshop, so entire Board is supportive).

No action was taken on this item and Board President Caspary directed staff to schedule a workshop in January 2014.

C Legislative and Regulatory Updates

General Manager Pedersen provided a report on legislative activities including: Kathy Cole will be providing an annual report to the Board on December 10th, and stated correspondence had been sent in regards to the Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Authority (WIFIA) being included in the Water Resources Bill (Title X - S.601), funding should be 100% loan versus current cap at 50%.

5. CONSENT CALENDAR

*On a motion by Director Lee Renger, seconded by Director Glen Peterson, the Board of Directors voted 5-0 to Approve the recommendations as presented.
AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger , Steinhardt*

A Minutes: Regular Meeting of October 22, 2013. Approve

B List of Demands: November 26, 2013. Approve

C Investment Report for the Month of October 2013. Approve

6. TREASURER

Treasurer Polan reported he had questioned a charge for removal of yellow jackets and all other items were in order.

7. BOARD OF DIRECTORS

A ACWA Statewide Election for President and Vice President

Discuss voting preferences for ACWA's Statewide Election of President and Vice President.

On a motion by Director Glen Peterson, seconded by Director Charles Caspary, the Board of Directors voted 5-0 to Approve voting for Kathleen Tiegs as Vice President of ACWA.

AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger , Steinhardt

Director Peterson cited his concerns in regards to an article in the Orange County Register discussing Peer Swan's position on the Sacramento Delta, Director Steinhardt shared the same concerns.

8. FACILITIES AND OPERATIONS

A Calabasas Tank Rehabilitation: Preliminary Design Report

Receive and file LVMWD Report No. 2541.00, Preliminary Design Report, Calabasas Tank Rehabilitation Project; find that the Calabasas Tank Rehabilitation Project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Sections 15301(b) and (d) of the CEQA Guidelines; approve a proposal from HDR in the amount of \$22,944 to prepare pre-qualification packages and provide right-of-way services; and authorize the General Manager to approve a change order in the amount of \$22,944 to the existing professional services agreement with HDR to perform the additional work.

Director of Facilities and Operations, David Lippman provided a history of the tank including: tank was built in 1962; there is only a 4-month window to take the tank out of service, which is December through March with work being done 12-hours per day/7-days per week.

A summary of Board comments included: improve seismic resistance, what level is seismic at (Lippman: refer to Appendix B - Seismic Assessment; Dan Ellison, Senior Professional Associate, HDR Engineering: similar to building criteria, bringing it to current standards, maximum credible earthquake for site); do we know what sub-soil is at the site (Ellison: hard rock); structural steel angles (Ellison: A36 carbon steel; Lippman: includes coating); Page 157-ii of the agenda references connection of an 8-inch pipe (Ellison: tank will sit next to a 16" pipe, which will require a system shutdown); relocate roof hatch, seismic valve system (Lippman: making provisions to install an actuator at a later date); current freeboard 1-foot, proposes 2.4-feet; measure pressure (Lippman: at tank using level control with set points); security at tank negligible (Lippman: as we go through the tank rehabilitation project fencing is installed during site improvements); why not install fencing everywhere; Page 183 of the agenda in regards to seismic vulnerability report (Ellison: report was done by someone else and was included in HDR's appendix, it's good the tank has a huge diameter, so it's very stable); where did tank get 8-Million-Gallon name (Lippman: also called C-Tank, and noted we should name the tank); impressed by elephant-foot tank (Ellison: works via vertical force); elephant-foot tank due to earthquakes (Ellison: could be used).

On a motion by Director Lee Renger, seconded by Director Leonard Polan, the Board of Directors voted 5-0 to Approve the recommendations as presented.

AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger , Steinhardt

B Proposed Interconnection with Calleguas Municipal Water District

Approve the addition of the LVMWD/CMWD Interconnection Study Project to the Fiscal Year 2013-14 Budget with an appropriation of \$45,000; approve the proposal from Kennedy/Jenks Consultants to perform a hydraulic analysis and prepare a technical memorandum for the project; and authorize the General Manager to execute a professional services agreement with Kennedy/Jenks Consultants in the amount of \$38,158 to perform the subject work.

General Manager Pedersen stated staff had met with Calleguas and there are clear benefits to

both agencies.

Director of Facilities and Operations Lippman provided a background of an interconnection including: interconnection concept, potential interconnection benefits, potential interconnection limitations and next steps; Kennedy/Jenks is working on Master Plan; we need "term sheets" for interconnection; Las Virgenes will pay first and then Calleguas will reimburse at their participation level, which is 50%.

A summary of Board comments included: how much will it cost to build the interconnection (Lippman: we need to build a 16" pipe, so approximately \$5-million); maximum flow rate (Lippman: Calleguas estimates 5 cfs (cubic feet per second) on a peak demand day in the summer); hydraulically (Lippman: 7.5 cfs); in a real emergency such as a firestorm how much water could we get (Lippman: this is a policy question); how much water can we give Calleguas (Lippman: 20 cfs assuming no loss from Metropolitan Water District (MWD)); why can't Calleguas provide 20 cfs; is it possible to get more water during an emergency (Lippman: part of "term sheet"); believes Las Virgenes needs a clear answer from Calleguas in regards to emergencies (Lippman: we have always needed the interconnection to refill the reservoir); Calleguas has a different MWD connection, if there is a situation where MWD can't provide water (Lippman: if there was a shutdown at LV-2 (Calabajas Feeder) then Las Virgenes could use Calleguas water; Pedersen: provides flexibility and is a common operational arrangement for agencies, it would be helpful during shutdowns, but is not a fix-all to solve all reliability issues); why aren't we looking at Department of Water and Power (Lippman: piping limitations at Valley Circle); interconnection was supposed to be in-lieu of the tank (Pedersen: recalls Board direction was regardless of whether the 5-million-gallon tank was built or not staff was to pursue the interconnection); why do we keep using Kennedy/Jenks (Pedersen: Kennedy/Jenks is doing the Master Plan, so staff thought it made sense and was more efficient to use them for the hydraulic modeling).

Director Steinhardt requested AECOM be asked to provide a quote.

On a motion by Director Glen Peterson, seconded by Director Charles Caspary, the Board of Directors voted 4-1 to Approve the recommendations as presented.

AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger

NOES: Director(s) Steinhardt

C Seminole Pump Station Revegetation Project: In-Lieu Fee Mitigation Program

Authorize the General Manager to execute an In-Lieu Fee Mitigation Agreement with the Mountains Restoration Trust in the amount of \$64,564.39 and in a form approved by District Counsel.

Director Peterson voiced concerns with Joe Edmiston in regards to revegetation.

On a motion by Director Lee Renger, seconded by Director Charles Caspary, the Board of Directors voted 5-0 to Approve the recommendation as presented.

AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger , Steinhardt

D Tapia Water Reclamation Facility Alternative Disinfection Project: Final Acceptance of Project

Approve the execution of a Notice of Completion by the Secretary of the Board for and on behalf of the District and have the same recorded; approve the final Progress Payment in the amount of \$9,430.88 to GSE Construction Company, Inc.; and in the absence of claims from subcontractors and others, release retention in the amount of \$127,881.07 within 30 calendar days after filing the Notice of Completion for the Tapia Water Reclamation Facility Alternative Disinfection Project.

Director Polan inquired as to whether the District had instances of lien claims (District Counsel, Wayne Lemieux stated the District files a Notice of Completion, which provides for a 30-day period to file stop notices, which very seldom happens).

*On a motion by Director Barry Steinhardt, seconded by Director Leonard Polan, the Board of Directors voted 5-0 to Approve the recommendations as presented.
AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger , Steinhardt*

9. LEGAL SERVICES

A Resolution of Support for ACWA's Statewide Water Action Plan

Pass, approve and adopt the proposed Resolution in Support of The Association of California Water Agencies' Statewide Water Action Plan.

RESOLUTION NO. 11-13-2448

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF LAS VIRGENES MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT IN SUPPORT OF THE ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA AGENCIES' STATEWIDE WATER ACTION PLAN

(Reference is hereby made to Resolution No. 11-13-2448 on file in the District's Resolution Book and by this reference the same is incorporated herein and made a part hereof.)

General Manager Pedersen stated the topic had been discussed at the November 5th ACWA Briefing and was adopted by the ACWA Board.

Director Peterson stated MWD supported, Director Polan questioned State claims discussed on page 238 of the agenda; Director Steinhardt questioned whether the District was doing something wrong by supporting ACWA versus the State.

*On a motion by Director Barry Steinhardt, seconded by Director Charles Caspary, the Board of Directors voted 5-0 to Approve the recommendation as presented.
AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger , Steinhardt*

10. INFORMATION ITEMS

A Memorandum of Understanding with City of Westlake Village: Construction Mitigation Measures for 5-Million-Gallon Storage Tank

Director Polan noted the Memorandum of Understanding lists Klessig as Mayor, and Rutherford is now Mayor (staff indicated this correction would be made when the item was brought back to the Board for approval).

B Reportable Expense Reimbursements for Fiscal Year 2012-13

C Underground Fuel Storage System: Completion of Repairs

11. NON-ACTION ITEMS

A Organization Reports

- (1) MWD
 - a. Representative Report/Agenda(s)
- (2) Other

(1) MWD Representative Peterson stated there was no report.

(2) Director Polan reported on his November 14th attendance at an AWA Bus Tour; shocked at how low United Conservation District's reservoir is; someone filed with State Water Resources Control Board to have water put in creek; supports environmentalists, but needs to make sense; City of Oxnard built reverse osmosis to get money into the economy; water in Casitas is low; wonderful tour.

Director Renger reported on his November 21st attendance at AWA during which there was a discussion of how Santa Clarita had to distribute 6,000 acre feet of water for fish and they don't have any water; Colorado running short; things are tough all over (Peterson: stated Mike Solomon, General Manager of United Water Conservation District was retiring).

Director Steinhardt stated at the ACWA Conference there would be a TMDL update provided by Victoria Whitney, Division of Water Quality, State Water Resources Control Board; Bruce Houdesheldt, Director of Regulatory Affairs, Northern California Water Association; from Las Virgenes, General Manager, David Pedersen and District Counsel, Wayne Lemieux; Felicia Marcus was supposed to be the key speaker, but was now speaking at another ACWA session being held at the same time as the Region 8 session.

B Director's Reports on Outside Meetings

Director Steinhardt reported he had attended a Morrison Ranch Estates Homeowners Association meeting on Thursday, November 21st; AT&T was deceitful, which reflected poorly on Las Virgenes; AT&T tried to back-door the project; company was fired and a new company was hired to represent them; we still have angry customers; requests staff notify customers before putting these items on an agenda (Caspary: then Las Virgenes becomes a planning agency).

Director Polan stated the November 19th Bay Delta Conservation Plan Government and Business Briefing was well attended.

C General Manager Reports

(1) General Business

(2) Follow-Up Items

(1) General Manager Pedersen provided an update on general business of the District including: office closures on December 24th and 25th; upcoming regular meetings for JPA and LVMWD; AWA and Senator Fran Pavley holiday events both on December 12th; City of Agoura Hills on December 3rd and Las Virgenes Unified School District on December 10th, both of which conflict with other scheduled events.

(2) General Manager Pedersen provided an update on follow-up Item 1. determine conservation measures utilized by Las Vegas Valley Water District and City of Phoenix, Arizona: reference Director of Resource Conservation and Public Outreach Reyes' three documents, which were included in board folders; and Item 4. provide more information on the type of manufacturing performed by Nanofilm and any provisions for testing wastewater stream for nanoparticles: staff made a site visit and the facility manufactures "photomask blanks", which are coated with light sensitive material for semi-conductors, decanted water is discharged to sewer, small reverse osmosis system, so brine is discharged to sewer, no nanoparticles are discharged or tested for, TSS (total suspended solids) more important.

D Director's Comments

Director Renger stated 2.9% for 30 years as the number used for frequency of emergencies is being taken as gospel, which bothers him, but he doesn't know what to do about it.

Director Polan inquired about Hidden Valley water stealing (District Counsel Lemieux stated this item is not on the agenda and it is a closed session item).

Director Polan stated along with Director Steinhardt he is concerned that the public cannot speak (District Counsel Lemieux stated the public can speak and the Board can ask clarifying questions, but not engage in conversation).

Director Steinhardt stated Las Virgenes Unified School District is meeting on December 10th and can the Board take a recess to present proclamations (Peterson: we are going to have our own public meeting; Renger: can Jeff Reinhardt attend (Reinhardt indicated that Deborah Low will be attending on behalf of Las Virgenes)).

12. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

On a motion by Director Glen Peterson, seconded by Director Charles Caspary, the Board of Directors voted 5-0 to Approve a future agenda item for naming of the 8-million-gallon and/or C-Tank on a future agenda with potential names of Stelle, Hidden Hills or Mother Tank.

AYES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Polan , Renger , Steinhardt

On a motion by Director Barry Steinhardt, seconded by Director Leonard Polan, the Board of Directors voted 2-3 to Approve a future agenda item to review an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) discussing the animal situation at the 5-million-gallon tank (Caspary: we have one; Pedersen: the Board adopted as part of the Mitigated Negative Declaration).

Director Steinhardt inquired of Board President Caspary if he was denying his request to put the item on a future agenda (Caspary deferred to District Counsel Lemieux for his legal opinion (Lemieux: traditionally the agenda is devised by the General Manager and Board President, therefore if the Board President does not put an item on the agenda; the only way the item can be added to a future agenda is by a majority vote of the Board of Directors)).

AYES: Director(s) Polan , Steinhardt

NOES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Renger

On a motion by Director Barry Steinhardt, seconded by Director Leonard Polan, the Board of Directors voted 2-3 to Approve a future agenda item to go into the details of dynamite usage at the 5-million-gallon tank (Renger: we have already studied this; Steinhardt: stated he has heard people do not agree with the report).

Director Steinhardt stated Board President Caspary was also denying putting this item on a future agenda (Caspary: a majority of the Board voted "no" to adding the item to a future agenda).

AYES: Director(s) Polan , Steinhardt

NOES: Director(s) Caspary , Peterson , Renger

13. PUBLIC COMMENTS

No speaker cards were received from the public.

The meeting convened into break at 8:41 p.m.

14. CLOSED SESSION

The meeting reconvened into Closed Session at 8:43 p.m.

A Conference with District Counsel – Existing Litigation (Government Code Section 54956.9(a)):

1. Las Virgenes - Triunfo Joint Powers Authority v. United States Environmental Protection Agency
2. Heal the Bay, Inc. v. Lisa P. Jackson
3. San Diego County Water Authority v. Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Cases 1 and 2)
4. City of Agoura Hills v. Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, et al. (3 Cases)

15. OPEN SESSION AND ADJOURNMENT

The meeting convened into Open Session at 8:54 p.m. No reportable actions were taken during Closed Session.

The Chair declared the meeting adjourned at 8:55 p.m.