MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE LAS VIRGENES-TRIUNFO JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY HELD ON AUGUST 2, 2010

The governing board of the Las Virgenes-Triunfo Joint Powers Authority met in a Meeting at Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, 4232 Las Virgenes Road, California, 91302 at 5:00 p.m. on Monday, August 2, 2010. Teleconference location: Tahoe Beach & Ski Club, 3601 Lake Tahoe Boulevard, South Lake Tahoe, California 96150.

Chair Caspary called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. The Pledge of Allegiance to the flag was given, led by Chair Caspary.

1 Call to Order and Roll Call: Deputy Clerk of the Board called the roll. Directors present were: Gillette, Glancy, Orkney, Paule via teleconference, Caspary, Peterson, Renger and Smith. Directors not present: Parks and Bowman. Chair declared a quorum present.

It was moved by Director Gillette, seconded by Director Glancy, and unanimously carried, that the agenda for the August 2, 2010 meeting be approved as presented. Roll call vote: Ayes; Gillette, Glancy, Orkney, Paule, Caspary, Peterson, Renger and Smith. Absent: Bowman and Parks.

NPDES Permit Comments: Administering Agent/General Manager Mundy discussed the NPDES Permit hearing with more detail to follow by Director of Operations & Facilities Lippman and Special Counsel Roberta Larson. Mr. Lippman stated that we are in the process of submitting comments to the third version of the tentative permit. Two topics of concern are increased monitoring and reporting requirements; and compliance with disinfection byproducts which includes a Cease and Desist Order (CDO) for total trihalomethanes and dichlorobromomethanes. Mr. Lippman noted that the CDO was not the proper regulatory mechanism for TTHM's, a new permit limit.

Counsel Larson presented the legal perspective of the tentative permit. She discussed that dichlorobromomethane is a Federal standard that must be met and that the TTHM is an interpretation of the Basin Plan based on the Regional Board staff's Best Professional Judgment. On the CDO matter for total trihalomethane, she noted that it is a formal enforcement mechanism and an in-permit limit is appropriate. She stated that the Regional Board has a broad authority to require monitoring but not unlimited. She stated that the JPA has a greater chance of success if it can persuade the Regional Board members to revise the permit.

Mr. Mundy discussed the board's role in the permit hearing. Mr. Mundy state the Chairs of the board should attend and participate in the presentation. He also talked about public outreach and stated that he would like to have the public participation of approximately 6-10 people at the hearing on September 2, 2010 at MWD headquarters. He said that the tentative permit does not have as many issues as the 2005 permit. He also noted that about 500 postcards that supported the District's position were distributed to the public who could mail them to the Regional Board.

Mr. Mundy stated we are asking for 30-minute testimony, a closing statement, and rebuttal. Discussion took place on whether or not to request an adjudicatory hearing. Counsel Larson stated that the District should keep its right to an adjudicatory hearing to be able to rebut negative comments from other groups at the hearing. Mr. Mundy talked about requesting a change in venue and date for the hearing. Director Peterson stated that the JPA should try to complete the permit renewal already and that the effort to go to the Simi Valley location is as much as downtown Los Angeles. After discussion, Chair Caspary directed staff to drop the request. Chair Caspary stated that the staff should be prepared with a Powerpoint presentation at the hearing.

- 3 Public Forum: No speaker cards received by the public.
- There being no objection the Chair declared the meeting adjourned at the hour of 6:15 p.m.

Charles Caspary, Chair

ATTEST:

Michael Paule, Vice Chair